

U.S. Department of the Interior  
U.S. Geological Survey

# **Lead Recycling in the United States in 1998**

**By Gerald R. Smith**

**U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY CIRCULAR 1196-F**

FLOW STUDIES FOR RECYCLING METAL COMMODITIES IN THE UNITED STATES

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**  
**GALE A. NORTON, Secretary**

**U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**  
**CHARLES G. GROAT, Director**

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, RESTON, VIRGINIA : 2002  
Version 1.1

Published online in the Eastern Region, Reston, Va.  
Manuscript approved for publication December 4, 2001.

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for  
descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by  
the U.S. Government.

# FOREWORD

As world population increases and the world economy expands, so does the demand for natural resources. An accurate assessment of the Nation's mineral resources must include not only the resources available in the ground but also those that become available through recycling. Supplying this information to decisionmakers is an essential part of the USGS commitment to providing the science that society needs to meet natural resource and environmental challenges.

The U.S. Geological Survey is authorized by Congress to collect, analyze, and disseminate data on the domestic and international supply of and demand for minerals essential to the U.S. economy and national security. This information on mineral occurrence, production, use, and recycling helps policymakers manage resources wisely.

USGS Circular 1196, "Flow Studies for Recycling Metal Commodities in the United States," presents the results of flow studies for recycling 26 metal commodities, from aluminum to zinc. These metals are a key component of the U.S. economy. Overall, recycling accounts for more than half of the U.S. metal supply by weight and roughly 40 percent by value.

Charles G. Groat  
Director

# CONTENTS

Foreword .....	III
Abstract .....	F1
Introduction .....	1
History and Use Patterns .....	1
Global Geologic Occurrence of Lead .....	3
Lead Production Process and Global Production .....	4
Lead Market Prices .....	4
Sources of Lead Scrap .....	4
Old Scrap Generated .....	6
New Scrap .....	6
Disposition of Lead Scrap .....	6
Old Scrap Recycling Efficiency .....	6
Infrastructure of Lead Scrap .....	6
Battery Scrap Collection System .....	6
Trade .....	6
Processing of Lead Scrap .....	7
Spent Battery Scrap .....	7
Battery Fabrication .....	7
Outlook for Lead Recycling .....	7
References Cited .....	8
Appendix—Definitions .....	9

## FIGURES

1. Flow diagram showing U.S. lead materials flow in 1998 .....	F2
2. Graph showing U.S. lead consumption in batteries from 1978 through 1998 .....	F5
3. Graph showing U.S. lead consumption, by end-use sector, nonbattery uses from 1978 through 199 .....	F5

## TABLES

1. Salient statistics for U.S. lead scrap in 1998 .....	F3
---------------------------------------------------------	----

## CONVERSION FACTORS

Multiply	By	To obtain
<i>Length</i>		
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile
inch (in.)	25.4	millimeter
<i>Volume</i>		
cubic foot (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0.02832	cubic meter
<i>Mass</i>		
gram (g)	0.03527	ounce avoirdupois
kilogram (kg)	2.205	pound avoirdupois
kilogram (kg)	32.1507	troy ounce
metric ton (t, 1,000 kg)	1.102	short ton (2,000 pounds)
troy ounce (troy oz)	31.10	gram
<i>Pressure</i>		
pound force per square inch	6.895	kilopascal
atmosphere (atm)	101.3	kilopascal

For temperature conversions from degrees Celsius (°C) to degrees Fahrenheit (°F), use the following:

$$^{\circ}\text{F} = (1.8 \times ^{\circ}\text{C}) + 32$$

## Lead Recycling in the United States in 1998

By Gerald R. Smith

### ABSTRACT

This materials flow study includes a description of lead supply and demand factors for the United States to illustrate the extent of lead recycling and to identify recycling trends. Understanding the system of materials flow from source to ultimate disposition can assist in improving the management of the use of natural resources in a manner that is compatible with sound environmental practices. The quantity of lead recycled in 1998, as a percentage of apparent lead supply, was estimated to be about 63 percent, and recycling efficiency, to be 95 percent. Of the total lead consumed in products for the U.S. market in 1998, an estimated 10 percent was consumed in products in which the lead was not readily recyclable.

### INTRODUCTION

Figure 1 and table 1 provide data on the use of lead in the United States in 1998. The sources of lead supply and the distribution of these supplies are quantified in this illustration so that specific information on recycling, including lead scrap recycling efficiency and lead scrap recycling rate (see appendix) can be determined. As an introduction prior to specific discussion of the components of figure 1, the following background on lead, including its history, important uses and use trends, geological occurrence, production processes, and market prices, is discussed.

### HISTORY AND USE PATTERNS

Lead is a very corrosion-resistant, dense, ductile, and malleable blue-gray metal that has been used for at least 5,000 years. Early uses of lead included building materials, pigments for glazing ceramics, and pipes for transporting water. The castles and cathedrals of Europe contain considerable quantities of lead in decorative fixtures, roofs, pipes, and windows (Shea, 1996, p. 1). Lead scrap has represented a portion of lead supply in the United States since the 1800s. The earliest known report of consumption of lead scrap was between 1867 and 1889 when about 11,000 metric tons (t) of lead scrap was imported to the United States for unspecified uses (Kirchhoff, 1894). Actual U.S. production of lead from scrap was first reported in 1907. In the following 2 years, about 77,000 t of recycled lead was recovered (Siebenthal,

1911, p. 187). The recovery of lead from scrap increased significantly in the United States during the next 18 years, and by 1927, nearly 252,000 t of lead had been recovered from scrap; this represented an estimated 27 percent of the total refined lead consumed (Smith, 1930, p. 341). By 1980, the quantity recovered had reached 675,000 t, which was about 63 percent of the total refined lead consumed, and by 1998, it had risen to 1.12 million metric tons (Mt), which was 69 percent of consumption (Rathjen, 1981, p. 480; Smith, 2000).

Prior to the early 1900s, uses of lead in the United States were primarily for ammunition, brass, burial vault liners, ceramic glazes, leaded glass and crystal, paints or other protective coatings, pewter, and water lines and pipes. The advent of the electrical age and communications, which were accelerated by technological developments in World War I, resulted in the addition of bearing metals, cable covering, caulking lead, solders, and type metal to the list of lead uses. With the growth in production of public and private motorized vehicles and the associated use of starting-lighting-ignition (SLI) lead-acid storage batteries andterne metal for gas tanks after World War I, demand for lead increased. Most of these uses for lead continued to increase with the growth in population and the national economy. Contributing to the increase in demand for lead was the use of lead as radiation shielding in medical analysis and video display equipment and as an additive in gasoline, which peaked in the late 1970s when environmental bans on leaded gasoline were introduced.

Figures 2 and 3 show the trends in consumption of lead in the United States since 1978. By the mid-1980s, a significant shift in lead end-use patterns had taken place. The demand for lead in SLI-type batteries grew rapidly, and this growth continued into the 1990s (fig. 2). In addition, the demand for lead in non-SLI battery applications also grew. Non-SLI battery applications include motive sources of power for airport ground equipment, industrial forklifts, mining equipment, and a variety of nonroad utility vehicles, as well as stationary sources of power in uninterruptible electric power systems for hospitals and for computer and telecommunications networks, and load-leveling equipment for electric utility companies. The use of lead in nonbattery products declined as battery demand increased (fig. 3).




Figure 1. U.S. lead materials flow, in 1998. Vaues are in thousands of metric tons of contained lead and have been rounded to three significant figures.

**Table 1.** Salient statistics for U.S. lead scrap in 1998.

[Values in thousands of metric tons of contained lead, unless otherwise specified]

Old scrap:	
Generated <sup>1</sup>	1,220
Consumed <sup>2</sup>	1,060
Value of old scrap consumed	\$263 million
Recycling efficiency <sup>3</sup>	95 percent
Supply <sup>4</sup>	1,230
Unrecovered <sup>5</sup>	63
New scrap consumed <sup>6</sup>	55
New-to-old-scrap ratio <sup>7</sup>	5:95
Recycling rate <sup>8</sup>	63 percent
U.S. net exports of scrap <sup>9</sup>	103
Value of U.S. net exports of scrap	\$26.8 million

<sup>1</sup>Lead content of products theoretically becoming obsolete in the United States in 1998. It excludes dissipative uses.

<sup>2</sup>Lead content of products that were recycled in 1998.

<sup>3</sup>(Old scrap consumed plus old scrap exported) divided by (old scrap generated plus old scrap imported plus any old scrap stock decrease or minus any old scrap stock increase).

<sup>4</sup>Old scrap generated plus old scrap imported plus old scrap stock decrease.

<sup>5</sup>Old scrap supply minus old scrap consumed minus old scrap exported minus old scrap stock increase.

<sup>6</sup>Including prompt industrial scrap, but excluding home scrap.

<sup>7</sup>Ratio of quantities consumed, in percent.

<sup>8</sup>Fraction of the lead apparent supply that is scrap, on an annual basis. It is defined as (consumption of old plus consumption of new scrap) divided by apparent supply (see appendix), measured in weight and expressed as a percentage.

<sup>9</sup>Trade in scrap is assumed to be principally old scrap. Net exports are exports of old scrap minus imports of old scrap.

Much of the decline in nonbattery use of lead was a result of the U.S. lead consumer's compliance with environmental regulations that significantly reduced or eliminated the use of lead in products that included gasoline, paints, solders, and water systems. Data for consumption of lead used as chemical additives in gasoline from 1987 through 1995 were estimated. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a direct final rule that prohibits the use of such additives in highway vehicles effective January 1, 1996 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1996). By 1998, the total demand for all types of lead-acid storage batteries represented a record high 88 percent of apparent U.S. lead consumption. Other significant uses included ammunition (3 percent), oxides in glass and ceramics (3 percent), casting metals (2 percent), and sheet lead (1 percent). The remainder was consumed in bearing metals, brass and bronze billets, covering for cable, caulking lead, extruded products, and solders. U.S. lead consumption in 1998 was about 29 percent of total world consumption of lead. The lead end-use pattern in the remainder of the world, with

batteries being the predominant end-use sector, was similar to that of the United States (International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 1999, p. 23-34).

The domestic demand for refined lead, by industrial sector in 1998, was principally in the transportation industry as component material for batteries, fuel tanks, seals, solders, and wheel weights and in the communication, construction, electrical, and electronic industries in such products as batteries, cable covering, extruded shapes, pipes, and radiation shielding. A small amount of lead also was consumed for use by producers of ceramics, crystal glass, and specialized chemicals.

### GLOBAL GEOLOGIC OCCURRENCE OF LEAD

Conventional deposits of primary lead and zinc are separable into five principal types that are based, for the most part, on differences in their geologic environments. The following definitions and descriptions of these deposits are from Briskey and Wedow (1986) and J.A. Briskey (U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 2000).

- Volcanic-hosted submarine exhalative massive sulfide deposits comprise almost entirely pyrite and pyrrhotite (iron sulfides) with varying proportions and amounts of sphalerite (zinc sulfide), galena (lead sulfide), chalcopyrite (copper and iron sulfide), and silver-bearing minerals. Lists of minerals in this geologic section are approximately in order of decreasing abundance. The sulfide minerals typically occur as multiple stratiform lenses within submarine volcanic rocks and commonly overlie or are adjacent to discordant feeder zones that contain smaller amounts of these minerals as stringers and disseminations in altered volcanic rocks. Large examples of these deposits include those found in Canada, Cyprus, Japan, Tasmania, and Turkey.
- Sediment-hosted submarine exhalative deposits consist of stratiform basinal accumulations that comprise mainly fine-grained pyrite and (or) pyrrhotite, sphalerite, galena, sporadic barite (barium sulfate), and minor chalcopyrite interbedded with euxinic marine sediments, which include black shales, siltstones, sandstones, cherts, dolostones (calcium magnesium carbonate), and micritic limestones (calcium carbonate). Large examples of these deposits are found in Australia, Canada, Germany, and the United States (Alaska).
- Strata-bound carbonate-hosted deposits, which are commonly referred to as "Mississippi Valley type," contain mostly sphalerite, galena, pyrite, barite, fluorite (calcium fluoride), and chalcopyrite. These minerals typically fill primary and secondary voids developed in favorable beds or horizons within thick sequences of platform dolostones and limestones. Important examples of these deposits are found in the Mississippi Valley and adjacent regions of the United States, which include the Upper



Mississippi Valley, the tristate region (Kansas-Missouri-Oklahoma), southeastern Missouri, and the central and east Tennessee districts, and are found in the Alps in southern Europe, Canada, and Poland.

- Sandstone-hosted deposits typically are strata-bound and commonly are stratiform concentrations of mainly fine-to-medium crystalline galena with sporadic smaller amounts of sphalerite, pyrite, barite, fluorite, and minor chalcopyrite. These minerals usually occur as clots and disseminations that in aggregate form multiple, thin, sheetlike ore bodies within marine, terrigenous, and continental sandstones, conglomerates, grits, and siltstones. Important examples of these deposits are found in Canada, France, Morocco, and Sweden.
- Vein, replacement, and contact metasomatic deposits typically comprise coarse crystalline aggregates of pyrite, sphalerite, galena, and chalcopyrite, as well as a large number of complex base- and precious-metal sulfide minerals that include those that contain abundant arsenic and antimony. Most deposits form multiple, tabular-to-podiform, or pipe-shaped ore shoots or occur as extensive, highly irregular, branching masses. Deposits occur in clusters (districts) that are spatially and temporally related to nearby granitic plutons of granodioritic or quartz monzonitic composition. Contact metasomatic deposits are restricted mostly to the contact aureoles of the plutons. Replacement and contact metasomatic ore bodies typically replace carbonate rocks adjacent to the pluton, whereas vein deposits are usually open-space fillings in fractures in a variety of host rocks. Classic examples of these deposits are found in Germany, Japan, Mexico, Peru, and, especially, the Western United States.

#### **LEAD PRODUCTION PROCESS AND GLOBAL PRODUCTION**

The major share of the U.S. mine output of lead in 1998 was derived from production in Alaska and Missouri. Appreciable quantities were also produced from mining operations in Colorado, Idaho, and Montana. Cumulatively, during the past 200 years, 57 percent of the U.S. mine output of lead was from strata-bound carbonate-hosted deposits, and 40 percent was from vein, replacement, and contact metasomatic deposits. The remaining 3 percent was produced from volcanic-hosted submarine exhalative massive sulfide, sediment-hosted submarine exhalative, and other deposits (Long, DeYoung, and Ludington, 2000, p. 640).

Lead is processed from the mined ore to the concentrate stage through a series of conventional beneficiation steps. After the raw ore is screened, crushed, and ground at the mill, it is chemically treated in a flotation process to separate metal-containing minerals from the waste rock (tailings) and from each other. The resulting lead concentrate is then further

processed through sintering, smelting, and refining steps to produce the pure lead metal. Most of the copper, nickel, and other impurities, which include antimony, arsenic, gold, and silver, are removed from the lead in a “dross” layer that forms on the surface of the melt upon cooling.

The lead bullion product from the smelting step is then processed through several molten refining steps to remove the remaining trace impurities, which may include antimony, arsenic, bismuth, copper, silver, tellurium, and zinc; this process ultimately yields a minimum of 99.97 percent pure refined lead. This lead is then cast into various size ingots for sale to end-use consumers. In 1998, mine production of lead was reported in 44 countries; the top 5 accounted for 68 percent of the world’s total production of 3.1 Mt of lead in concentrates. Australia was the largest producer with 20 percent of the world total, followed by China, 18 percent; the United States, 16 percent; Peru, 8 percent; and Canada, 6 percent.


#### **LEAD MARKET PRICES**

Refined lead prices declined throughout most of 1998. The average London Metal Exchange and North American Producer prices per pound were \$0.240 and \$0.453, respectively, which were down by \$0.043 per pound and \$0.013 per pound, respectively, compared with those of 1997. In 1998, recycled lead sold for an average \$0.483 per pound, which was down by \$0.012 per pound compared with the price in 1997 (Platt’s Metals Week, 1999). The market price for whole scrap lead-acid batteries (a principal source of lead for recycling) ranged between \$0.045 and \$0.065 per pound, which translated to a lead price of \$0.09 to \$0.13 per pound assuming that the average amount of lead in such batteries is about 50 percent (Worden, 1998). Soft lead scrap from other sources averaged \$0.195 per pound (American Metal Market, 1999).


In the late 1980s and early 1990s, lead prices generally increased as the lead-producing industry continued to adjust effectively to environmental regulations imposed a few years earlier. After a brief decline, prices rose steadily from 1992 to 1996 as a result of the increasing demand in the replacement automotive battery sector. In 1997 and 1998, the price of lead showed some softness owing to 2 consecutive years of moderate temperatures in the more-populated regions of the United States that reduced the failure rate of automotive-type batteries and the subsequent need for replacement batteries.

#### **SOURCES OF LEAD SCRAP**

Sources of new and old scrap are key features of the U.S. lead materials flow diagram that is shown in figure 1. Statistical data for the various types of old and new scrap processed into refined lead metal for domestic consumption were derived from specific information provided to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) by the lead recycling (secondary) industries.



**Figure 2.** U.S. lead consumption in batteries from 1978 through 1998.



**Figure 3.** U.S. lead consumption by end-use sector, nonbattery uses from 1978 through 1998.

The domestic supply of primary and secondary refined lead, as shown in figure 1, consists of primary and secondary refinery production, net imports of refined lead, sales of lead from the Government stockpile, and net releases of industry stocks. Data on trade and Government stockpile sales were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau and the U.S. Defense National Stockpile Center, respectively, through monthly reports issued by the agencies.

The salient lead scrap statistics discussed in this report for calendar year 1998 (table 1) are based primarily upon information received from lead industry producers and consumers through industry surveys submitted to the USGS. Statistics received through these surveys provided about 99 percent of the total production of refined lead reported and 96 percent of the apparent consumption of lead.

### **OLD SCRAP GENERATED**

The key component of the lead recycling industry in the United States is the reprocessing of spent lead-acid batteries. In 1998, approximately 88 percent of these spent batteries were of the SLI automotive type, which had an average life of about 4 years; 8 percent were of the motive power type, which had an average life of 6 years; and 4 percent were of the stationary type, which had an average life of 10 years (Battery Council International, 1998, p. 3). The calculated quantity of old scrap generated in 1998 was assumed to have originated from lead consumed in the manufacture of batteries of the SLI automotive type in 1994, the motive power type in 1992, and the stationary type in 1988. An estimated 97 percent of all the old lead scrap generated in 1998 was from spent lead-acid storage batteries. The remainder was from metal sources, such as castings, sheet, solders, and miscellaneous fabricated parts.

### **NEW SCRAP**

The quantity of refined lead recovered from new scrap was estimated from the gross weight of purchased drosses and residues reported to the USGS as a source of scrap by the lead recycling industries. An additional source of new lead scrap was that contained in copper-base alloys. New scrap consumed in 1998 was estimated to be 55,000 t, or about 5 percent of total scrap consumed.

### **DISPOSITION OF LEAD SCRAP**

In 1998, recycled lead represented nearly 77 percent of refined lead production in the United States. The spent lead-acid battery is the dominant source of lead for reprocessing by the secondary lead industries. Thus, the efficiency with which these batteries are collected, reprocessed, and returned to the marketplace in the form of refined lead is of prime importance in maintaining the required supply of lead in the United States.

### **OLD SCRAP RECYCLING EFFICIENCY**

Recycling efficiency shows the relation between what is theoretically available for recycling and what is and is not recovered. By definition, this relation is the amount of old scrap consumed plus old scrap exported divided by the sum of old scrap generated and old scrap imported plus or minus old scrap stock changes. Each component that is used to determine the relation is considered in terms of the recoverable metal content. The recycling efficiency of about 95 percent that was reached in 1998 is consistent with the organized infrastructure that exists in the United States for collection and recovery of lead scrap and, in particular, spent lead-acid batteries.

### **INFRASTRUCTURE OF LEAD SCRAP**

In 1998, about 98 percent of all recycled lead was produced by 9 companies that operated 17 battery recycling plants in Alabama, California, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, New York, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Texas. The geographical distribution of these plants effectively supports the complete network of spent battery sources. Secondary lead production capacity in the United States is estimated to be about 1.1 Mt per year.

### **BATTERY SCRAP COLLECTION SYSTEM**

An estimated 60 percent to 70 percent of spent lead-acid batteries are collected for recycling each year by battery manufacturers through sales agreements with major retailers or through reverse distribution systems. The manufacturers typically collect spent batteries from retailers when delivering shipments of new lead-acid batteries. Retailers accumulate these spent batteries in exchange when customers purchase new batteries. Upon collection of the batteries from the retailer, the battery manufacturer, in turn, arranges to recover the lead content of the batteries through tolling agreements with secondary lead smelters. This lead is then returned to the manufacturer as feedstock for the production of new batteries. If the battery manufacturer also owns a secondary smelter, then the tolling step is eliminated. The remaining 30 percent to 40 percent of spent batteries are collected by scrap dealers. These dealers collect from sources other than large retailers, which include small retailers, service stations, and junk yards, and subsequently offer the batteries for sale on the open market.

### **TRADE**

As a net exporter of lead scrap, the United States sent 103,000 t abroad in 1998; about 91 percent went to Canada. Other places that received appreciable quantities of lead scrap from the United States included Belgium, China, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Mexico, Sweden, Taiwan, and the United Arab Emirates. The exported scrap was principally in the form of battery and nonbattery metal scrap, which

included approximately 5,000 t of lead contained in whole spent batteries. The remaining scrap (about 9 percent) was exported in the form of ash and residues. Exports of lead scrap represented about 39 percent of the total exports of lead-containing materials, which included base bullion, concentrates, and wrought and unwrought lead and lead alloys.

## **PROCESSING OF LEAD SCRAP**

### **SPENT BATTERY SCRAP**

The processing of spent batteries is carried out in a series of steps that includes draining the battery acid, dismantling the battery by hammer mill and grinding procedures, washing and tumbling the dismantled battery to separate its component parts (generally by screening and gravity separation steps), and, finally, treating the individual component parts for recovery and reuse. In the processing of the lead-acid battery, most of its components are recovered for reuse. The lead-containing components recovered from the battery consist of lead alloy from the grids and posts, lead oxides from the electrode paste, and other lead compounds from the battery cell reactions. Typically, the lead-bearing paste is first desulfurized and combined with the lead-bearing grids and posts as a feed to a reverberatory furnace; this yields a raw lead product and a slag that contains 20 percent to 40 percent lead. The slag is fed to a blast furnace or electric reduction furnace from which the lead content is recovered as raw lead product. The raw lead products from these furnaces are then melted in refining kettles to remove residual impurities, adjusted to customer specifications, and cast into ingots for shipment. In some facilities, the grids and posts are treated separately from the paste. In such operations, a rotary furnace that produces desired alloys for new battery production directly from the used grids and posts is used.

The manner in which the sulfuric acid recovered from the batteries is treated to produce usable products, such as fertilizers, laundry detergent and paper-processing ingredients, regenerated electrolyte for use in new batteries, and a pH control solution for use in the wastewater-treatment system at the recycling facility, depends upon the particular recycling facility. The shredded polypropylene plastic battery casings are converted to plastic pellets onsite or shipped to a plastics recycler for eventual reuse in the production of new battery casings. Nonplastic components of the battery, such as rubber casing material and grid separators, may be used as reducing agents in the melting process for recovery of lead.

Loss of lead is minimal in the processing of spent batteries. Environmental regulations in place for secondary lead smelters require that the loss of lead in these operations be significantly restricted. In June 1997, the EPA issued a direct final rule that amended certain components of the existing national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants from secondary lead smelting. This direct final rule,

as amended, established standards to limit hazardous air pollutant emissions from agglomerating furnaces, dryers, fugitive dust sources, refining kettles, and smelting furnaces at lead smelters (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1997).

### **BATTERY FABRICATION**

Battery manufacturers recover essentially all the scrap, which is mostly grid and post trimmings, generated in the battery assembly process. This home scrap is collected and remelted for use in the fabrication of more grids and posts. Minimal scrap or waste is generated in the production of the electrode paste. The small quantity that is recovered is reused as home scrap.

## **OUTLOOK FOR LEAD RECYCLING**

The recycling efficiency level of 95 percent achieved in 1998 is likely to continue into the foreseeable future. Because the recycling efficiency is, however, already quite high, any further increase will be slight. Likewise, the recycling rate of 63 percent also is expected to remain at a high level. Only a decline in the demand for lead, thus lessening the need for primary material, is likely to raise it significantly. Such factors as environmental controls on lead, rising lead demand in the replacement battery sector, and the integral and appreciable role that the secondary lead industry plays in meeting overall U.S. demand for lead will continue to support significant recycling of lead. Continued high levels of lead recycling enhance the sustainability of lead production and result in greater conservation of energy and resources.

On an international level, interest in lead recycling has been continuous and extensive. Increasing environmental concerns have prompted the institution of additional regulations on new lead production that have resulted in additional pressure and incentive to increase the recycling of lead in many countries around the world. Recycled lead now accounts for about one-half of the refined lead produced worldwide each year (International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 1995).

Forecasts for lead recycling indicate that an additional 1 Mt of secondary lead capacity will be required worldwide by 2008. The feed source for this capacity increase essentially will be that of the spent lead-acid batteries obtained from the ever-expanding number of vehicles throughout the world. In those regions where the number of vehicles is increasing to the greatest extent, however, challenges are expected to remain in achieving significant increases in recycling efficiency. Specifically, the collection of the spent automotive-type batteries and their transportation to appropriate recycling facilities will require the resolution of certain restrictions to battery recycling as outlined in the Basel Convention (International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 1998).

## REFERENCES CITED

- American Metal Market, 1999, U.S. prices of smelters' scrap lead: American Metal Market, v. 107, no. 160, August 19, p. 3.
- Battery Council International, 1998, National recycling rate study: Chicago, IL, Battery Council International (Prepared by Smith, Bucklin and Associates), December, 19 p.
- Briskey, J.A., and Wedow, H., Jr., 1986, Zinc resources, *in* Bever, M.B., ed., *Encyclopedia of materials science and engineering*: Oxford, Pergamon Press, p. 5539–5541.
- International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 1995, Recycling lead and zinc into the 21st century, *in* International Recycling Conference, 6th, Madrid, June 18–23, 1995, Proceedings: International Lead and Zinc Study Group, p. 15–16.
- 1998, Environmentally friendly lead and zinc—The challenge of the recycling millennium, *in* International Recycling Conference, 7th, Toronto, May 25–29, 1998, Proceedings: International Lead and Zinc Study Group, p. 9–12.
- 1999, Lead and zinc statistics: International Lead and Zinc Study Group, v. 39, no. 12, December, 67 p.
- Kirchhoff, C., 1894 [1895], Lead, *in* Mineral resources of the United States 1893: Miscellaneous Documents of the House of Representatives for the Second Session of the Fifty-Third Congress, 1893–94, v. 28, no. 181, p. 89–102.
- Long, K.R., DeYoung, J.H., Jr., and Ludington, Steve, 2000, Significant deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States: *Economic Geology*, v. 95, no. 3, p. 629–644.
- Platt's Metals Week, 1999, Annual metal averages for 1998: Platt's Metals Week, v. 70, no. 8, February 22, p. 4.
- Rathjen, J.A., 1981, Lead, *in* Metals and minerals: U.S. Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbook, 1980, v. I, p. 479–505.
- Shea, E.E., 1996, Lead regulation handbook: Rockville, MD, Government Institutes Inc., 240 p.
- Siebenthal, C.E., 1911, Lead, *in* Metals: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral resources of the United States 1909, pt. I, p. 181–201.
- Smith, G.R., 2000, Lead, *in* Metals and minerals: U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook 1998, v. I, p. 44.1–44.24.
- Smith, L.A., 1930, Lead, *in* Metals: U.S. Bureau of Mines Mineral Resources of the United States 1927, pt. I, p. 341–372.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1996, Prohibition on gasoline containing lead or lead additives for highway use: Federal Register, v. 61, no. 23, February 2, p. 3832.
- 1997, National emission standards for hazardous air pollutants from secondary lead smelting—Direct final rule—Amendments to rule: Federal Register, v. 62, no. 114, June, 13, p. 32209.
- Worden, Edward, 1998, Lead battery market varies: American Metal Market, v. 106, no. 241, December 17, p. 7,

## APPENDIX—DEFINITIONS

**apparent consumption.** Primary plus secondary production (old scrap) plus imports minus exports plus adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

**apparent supply.** Apparent consumption plus consumption of new scrap.

**dissipative use.** A use in which the metal is dispersed or scattered, such as paints or fertilizers, making it exceptionally difficult and costly to recycle.

**home scrap.** Scrap generated as process scrap and consumed in the same plant where generated.

**new scrap.** Scrap produced during the manufacture of metals and articles for both intermediate and ultimate consumption, including all defective finished or semifinished articles that must be reworked. Examples of new scrap are borings, castings, clippings, drosses, skims, and turnings. New scrap includes scrap generated at facilities that consume old scrap. Included as new scrap is prompt industrial scrap—scrap obtained from a facility separate from the recycling refiner, smelter, or processor. Excluded from new scrap is home scrap that is generated as process scrap and used in the same plant.

**new-to-old-scrap ratio.** New scrap consumption compared with old scrap consumption, measured in weight and expressed in percent of new plus old scrap consumed (for example, 40:60).

**old scrap.** Scrap including (but not limited to) metal articles that have been discarded after serving a useful purpose. Typical examples of old scrap are electrical wiring, lead-acid batteries, silver from photographic materials, metals from shredded cars and appliances, used aluminum beverage cans, spent catalysts, and tool bits. This is also referred to as postconsumer scrap and may originate from industry or the general public. Expended or obsolete materials used dissipatively, such as paints and fertilizers, are not included.

**old scrap generated.** Metal content of products theoretically becoming obsolete in the United States in the year of consideration, excluding dissipative uses.

**old scrap recycling efficiency.** Amount of old scrap recovered and reused relative to the amount available to be recovered and reused. Defined as (consumption of old

scrap (COS) plus exports of old scrap (OSE)) divided by (old scrap generated (OSG) plus imports of old scrap (OSI) plus a decrease in old scrap stocks (OSS) or minus an increase in old scrap stocks), measured in weight and expressed as a percentage:

$$\frac{\text{COS} + \text{OSE}}{\text{OSG} + \text{OSI} + \text{decrease in OSS or} - \text{increase in OSS}} \times 100$$

**old scrap supply.** Old scrap generated plus old scrap imported plus old scrap stock decrease.

**old scrap unrecovered.** Old scrap supply minus old scrap consumed minus old scrap exported minus old scrap stock increase.

**price.** Total value of old lead scrap consumed calculated on the basis of an estimated value for the lead contained in whole battery scrap plus 75 percent of the unit value of primary lead for all other forms of lead scrap. The total value of old lead scrap exports was based upon the value for the gross weight of lead scrap as reported by the U.S. Census Bureau.

**recycling.** Reclamation of a metal in usable form from scrap or waste. This includes recovery as the refined metal or as alloys, mixtures, or compounds that are useful. Examples of reclamation are recovery of alloying metals (or other base metals) in steel, recovery of antimony in battery lead, recovery of copper in copper sulfate, and even the recovery of a metal where it is not desired but can be tolerated—such as tin from tinsplate scrap that is incorporated in small quantities (and accepted) in some steels, only because the cost of removing it from tinsplate scrap is too high and (or) tin stripping plants are too few. In all cases, what is consumed is the recoverable metal content of scrap.

**recycling rate.** Fraction of the apparent metal supply that is scrap on an annual basis. It is defined as (consumption of old scrap (COS) plus consumption of new scrap (CNS)) divided by apparent supply (AS), measured in weight and expressed as a percentage:

$$\frac{\text{COS} + \text{CNS}}{\text{AS}} \times 100$$

**scrap consumption.** Scrap added to the production flow of a metal or metal product.